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1. Mozambique – country context

Positive outlook for Mozambique’s extractive industries...

- **Oil & Gas on-shore** – producing asset monetising previously ‘stranded’ gas
- **Oil & Gas off-shore** – huge play, but high cost, early days ...
- **Mining**, still an emerging sector with considerable potential
- **Other mega-projects** - Hydro-power & aluminium smelter, growth corridors

...but challenging circumstances for the economy more generally!

- **Dual economy** – alongside its mega-projects, the country is distinctly rural, with a small non-extractive formal economy and a low level of domestic human capital and other forms of ‘non-endowed’ capital
- **Fiscal situation** of ‘bust before boom’
2. Extractives and development: recent journey

- **1980-1990s**
  - How to attract foreign investment?
  - Fiscal conditions
  - Supportive legal and regulatory regimes
  - Reporting on local impacts

- **1990s-2000s**
  - How to avoid ‘resource curse’?
  - Macroeconomics & political economy
  - Good sector governance
  - Corporate standards

- **2010s-going forward**
  - How to build linkages?
  - Broader socio-economic benefits
  - Economic diversification seen as key
How to build linkages between the extractive and other economic sectors?

- **Re-discovery of linkage theory** - backward and forward *production* linkages, *fiscal* linkages & *consumption* linkages – as well as *side-stream/lateral/transversal* linkages (e.g. African Mining Vision)

  1. Backward linkages → **Local Content** → in-country procurement of goods and services & hiring of local workers and professionals.
  2. All types of linkages → enhancing economic activities as a result of the presence of extractive industries → **Extractives-led Development** → **Economic Diversification** & Structural Transformation

- Both focus areas speak to the subject of ‘**industrial policy**’:
  - Local Content very narrowly, and Economic Diversification more broadly.
3. ‘Industrial Policy’ in the context of the extractives sector

- **Promise of state-led industrialization**
  - 1950s-1970s
  - Resource nationalism and state ownership.
  - Oil shocks, then rise of non-OPEC supply.
  - Downturn of commodity prices
  - Resulting fiscal crises.

- **Trust in sector liberalization**
  - 1980s-early 2000s
  - Structural adjustments - policy reforms to liberalise the sector
  - Attracting foreign direct investment
  - Analyses of rent-seeking & state failure

- **Promise of extractives-led development**
  - Since mid 2000s
  - Good sector governance as a remedy against ‘resource curse’
  - But still no automatic trickle-down effects - aiming for economic diversification, by means of building linkages

- **How to attract foreign investment?**
  - 1980-1990s

- **How to avoid ‘resource curse’?**
  - 1990s-2000s

- **How to build linkages?**
  - 2010s-going forward
4. Industrial Policy is back… more generally

1. Criticism of the development agenda
   - Policies incentivising FDI have not delivered ‘inclusive economic development’.
     No automatic trickle-down effects.
   - Pro-poor policies have failed to develop a sustainable private sector.

2. Climate change and international environmental policy
   - ‘Green’ industrial policy for more sustainable growth and improving resource and material efficiency.
   - Risks of ‘unburnable carbon’ and (climate-)‘stranded assets’.

3. Disappointment with globalisation
   - Re-focusing on national economies
Industrial Policy is back… yet opinions are divided

No consensus – merely themes that run across an evolving body of research:

(1) Risk of ‘state failure’
(2) Discovery and learning process – based on sharing information
(3) Setting and pursuing socio-economic objectives
(4) Improving productivity
(5) Comparative advantages – conditioned by institutional arrangements
(6) Political economy of institutional change
5. ‘Local Content’ = industrial policy, narrowly defined

- Typically defined as local procurement of goods and services and hiring of local workers and professionals.
- Two justifications: (a) capturing more of the pie; (b) improving domestic productive capabilities.
- ‘Success’ is measured in different ways, and associated risks are viewed differently:
  - (a) safeguarding against ‘negative externalities’: rent-seeking local business elites benefiting from quantitatively-driven **supplier development**, and potential problem of unsustainable qualitative requirements.
  - (b) looking for, and ensuring ‘positive externalities’ to build productive capabilities, and correcting policies if such are not forthcoming — focus on **transferability** of capabilities across different types of linkages (multipliers) – joined up learning and discovery process
…capturing more of the pie…

Typical shares of total corporate spend, percent

**Mining**
- Government revenue: 15 – 20%
- Social investment: 15 – 20%
- Employment, procurement & infrastructure: 50 – 65%
- Investor returns: 1%

**Oil and gas**
- Government revenue: 20 – 30%
- Social investment: 30 – 40%
- Employment, procurement & infrastructure: 40 – 50%
- Investor returns: 1%

6. Where does Mozambique stand on LC vs ED?

• (+) Debate on Local Content bill not yet concluded:
  – (Hopefully) coming down on ‘value added’ and backed-up by critical assessments of local economic capabilities in relation to (a) capturing more of the pie, and (b) improving productive capabilities.

• (-) Because local capabilities are low, sector-focused ’Local Content’ unlikely to catalyse Economic Diversification:
  – E.g. MAGTAP studies on potential opportunities have suggested that different sets of policies would be needed for ‘supplier development’ versus ‘broader enterprise development’.

• (-) Implications of fiscal situation placing additional burden on developing local micro /small & medium enterprises.
  – Undermining ’broader enterprise development’, especially with respect to sub-national level.
6. … continued

• (-) Macro-policy environment not yet sufficiently clear
  – Several policies, strategies and plans by different MDAs with different timelines, lacking clarity how they hang together and how key priorities will be implemented, especially at the sub-national level.

• (+) CSR Policy and Guidance focusing on ‘Local Economic Development’ delivered in the form of SI/LDAs
  – Opportunity for value chain development at the local level - if companies, local companies, government authorities and delivery-oriented third parties can work collaboratively and constructively - and with clear strategic focus.

• (-) Sub-national governance structures
  – Potentially undermining building value chains and domestic capital from bottom up.
7. Conclusion

• Building linkages between the extractive and other economic sectors would seem extremely difficult – given the dual nature of the economy.
• Economic diversification is not going to come from focusing only on the sector, but beyond the sector and on transferability.
• Positively, Mozambique has not gone down the route of strongly emphasising quantitative local content targets with no regard for existing productive capabilities.
• Opportunity to embrace ‘industrial policy’ with a new sense of joined-up learning and discovering what productive capabilities the country can build around its extractive industries.
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