Gender inequality in employment in Mozambique

Carlos Gradín, Finn Tarp

(UNU-WIDER)

Poverty and Inequality in Mozambique: What is at stake? Pobreza e Desigualdade em Moçambique: O que está em causa? Maputo, November 27, 2017

Inclusive growth in Mozambique – scaling-up research and capacity

Labor markets in low-income countries

- Large agricultural sector, small family businesses (e.g. Rosenzweig, 1988).
- High Female LFP but U-shaped relationship with structural change (e.g. Goldin, 1995; Mammen and Paxson, 2000).
 - 1) \uparrow blue-collar jobs $\rightarrow \downarrow$ FLFP.
 - Social norms + high fixed cost of working out of home (low pay + high fertility rates).
 - 2) \uparrow white-collar jobs \rightarrow \uparrow FLFP.
 - \uparrow women's education, \downarrow fertility,
- Relevant historical initial conditions (Gaddis and Klassen, 2014).
 - Path followed by developed economies in the past, but little empirical support in current developing countries for declining portion of the U



SSA and Mozambique

- Sex (F/M) employment ratio in SSA (Anyanwu and Augustine, 2013):
 - + : democracy, gross domestic investment, primary education, urbanization
 - - : real GDP pc, foreign direct investment, sex ratio, oil-exporter.
- Mozambique:
 - Male-dominated culture: North being more traditional (Tvedten, 2011): low economic participation and literacy; early marriage, ...
 - Variety of influences: Muslims, Portuguese colonization, postindependence war, socialist policies, and FMI/BM structural policies (Tvedten, 2011).
 - High FLFP (WB, 2012) in the subsistence agricultural sector.
 - Economic growth brought an emerging non-subsistence sector.



Aim

 To analyze post-war trends in employment rates in Mozambique, especially out of the subsistence sector, to assess gender inequality of the growth pattern (SDGs):

Identifying the distinct roles of



- worker characteristics, such as human capital, marital status, age, location, ethnicity, or migration
- conditional employment probabilities of men and women of certain characteristics (like married, highly educated, etc.).



Data

- **1997 and 2007 censuses** (INE), samples from IPUMS-I (Minnesota Population Center): 828,113 and 1,055,655 individual obs.
- 2008/09 and 2014/15 households budget surveys (INE): *Inquéritos ao Orçamento Familiar* (IOF): 27,123 and 31,291 (pool of 3 quarters)
- **Total employment:** 15+ in private households, working during the ref. week for pay for an employer, self-employed persons, unpaid family workers engaged in the production of economic goods, and persons who have a job but were temporarily absent for some reason.
- *Employment in the non-subsistence sector*: Excluding the primary sector and family workers.



Methodology

$$P_{i}^{g} = F(X_{i}^{g}\beta^{g}) = \frac{\exp(X_{i}^{g}\beta^{g})}{1 + \exp(X_{i}^{g}\beta^{g})} \qquad E^{g} = \overline{P^{g}} = \overline{F(X_{i}^{g}\hat{\beta}^{g})} = \frac{1}{N^{g}} \sum_{i=1}^{N^{g}} F(X_{i}^{g}\hat{\beta}^{g})$$
Aggregate decomposition -/+ counterfactual
$$E^{m} - E^{f} = \left[\overline{F(X_{i}^{m}\hat{\beta}^{m})} - \overline{F(X_{i}^{m}\hat{\beta}^{f})}\right] + \left[\overline{F(X_{i}^{m}\hat{\beta}^{f})} - \overline{F(X_{i}^{f}\hat{\beta}^{f})}\right]$$
Coefficients effect (unexplained) Characteristics effect (explained)
Detailed decomposition (Even and Macpherson, 1990, 1993; Yun, 2004)

$$W_{k}^{\Delta X} = \frac{\left(\bar{x}_{k}^{m} - \bar{x}_{k}^{f}\right)\hat{\beta}_{k}^{f}}{\left(\bar{X}^{m} - \bar{X}^{f}\right)\hat{\beta}^{f}}\left[\overline{F\left(X_{i}^{m}\hat{\beta}^{f}\right)} - \overline{F\left(X_{i}^{f}\hat{\beta}^{f}\right)}\right]$$
$$W_{k}^{\Delta\beta} = \frac{\bar{x}_{k}^{m}\left(\hat{\beta}_{k}^{m} - \hat{\beta}_{k}^{f}\right)}{\bar{X}^{m}\left(\hat{\beta}^{m} - \hat{\beta}^{f}\right)}\left[\overline{F\left(X_{i}^{m}\hat{\beta}^{m}\right)} - \overline{F\left(X_{i}^{m}\hat{\beta}^{f}\right)}\right]$$

_



Table 1. Employment in Mozambique

| | Census | | | | IOF | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------|------|------|------|---------|------|---------|------|
| | 1997 | | 2007 | | 2008/09 | | 2014/15 | |
| Population 15+ | F | Μ | F | Μ | F | Μ | F | Μ |
| Employed | 67.5 | 74.4 | 65.8 | 73.7 | 86.3 | 86.5 | 80.5 | 83.1 |
| Employed in non-subsistence sector | 5.2 | 21.6 | 8.4 | 26.2 | 9.4 | 23.1 | 12.6 | 29.0 |
| Employed population | | | | | | | | |
| Self-employed | 67.7 | 65.1 | 79.3 | 69.2 | 43.7 | 62.4 | 61.5 | 62.6 |
| with employees | 0.9 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 3.5 |
| without employees | 29.6 | 27.5 | 43.0 | 37.5 | 43.3 | 61.1 | 60.2 | 59.1 |
| Public sector | 0.7 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 3.7 | 1.8 | 5.8 | 2.5 | 5.9 |
| Family worker | 13.0 | 6.6 | 7.3 | 4.4 | 51.1 | 17.1 | 31.1 | 14.3 |
| Permanent worker | - | - | - | - | 88.8 | 86.3 | 86.9 | 83.9 |
| Hours worked daily | 7.0 | 8.9 | 9.9 | 10.6 | 6.7 | 7.3 | 4.8 | 5.8 |
| By occupation | | | | | | | | |
| Managers | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Professionals | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 1.2 | 2.8 |
| Technicians | 0.5 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 3.7 | 1.4 | 3.6 | 1.1 | 1.9 |
| Clerks | 0.6 | 1.6 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.7 | 0.5 | 1.0 |
| Service and sales | 1.8 | 3.9 | 7.6 | 12.1 | 6.6 | 8.4 | 9.3 | 11.9 |
| Agricultural | 91.2 | 68.5 | 86.8 | 63.4 | 88.3 | 72.1 | 83.2 | 63.0 |
| Crafts | 0.8 | 10.0 | 0.7 | 11.4 | 0.8 | 7.2 | 1.0 | 9.7 |
| Operators, assemblers | 0.2 | 2.4 | 0.2 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 4.8 |
| Elementary | 3.7 | 9.4 | 1.8 | 3.7 | 71.9 | 4.2 | 2.9 | 4.3 |

Table 2. Education by gender, working-age population

| | Census | | | | IOF | | | |
|-------------------|--------|------|------|------|---------|------|---------|------|
| | 1997 | , | 2007 | • | 2008/09 | | 2014/15 | |
| Education | F | Μ | F | Μ | F | Μ | F | Μ |
| None | 70.2 | 43.0 | 54.1 | 27.8 | 38.6 | 16.3 | 41.7 | 19.2 |
| Some primary | 16.1 | 27.0 | 21.7 | 28.3 | 33.0 | 32.0 | 23.3 | 23.5 |
| Lower primary | 8.8 | 17.5 | 11.8 | 20.3 | 13.4 | 22.2 | 12.1 | 18.8 |
| Upper primary | 3.5 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 14.4 | 10.4 | 19.7 | 13.5 | 21.7 |
| Lower secondary | 0.7 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 4.3 | 2.5 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 7.4 |
| Upper Secondary | 0.3 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 2.6 | 4.4 |
| Some university | 0.1 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 1.3 |
| Unknown | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 0.7 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 3.0 |
| Literacy | 23.8 | 52.9 | 35.0 | 64.9 | 36.1 | 66.8 | 40.7 | 68.6 |
| Speaks Portuguese | 28.3 | 56.7 | 39.9 | 67.4 | - | - | - | - |
| Attending school | 4.3 | 9.1 | 12.8 | 19.3 | 13.7 | 19.3 | 10.1 | 15.6 |
| 15-24 | 10.4 | 22.8 | 27.9 | 45.9 | 31.7 | 50.6 | 26.5 | 41.0 |



| Rural2.914.23.51Urban20.449.330.457Maputo city36.460.947.166No schooling3.511.74.717Some primary8.020.68.011Lower primary14.131.117.327Upper primary30.452.229.547Upper Secondary55.474.045.969Technical37.854.741.657University58.268.762.479 | |
|--|-----|
| Rural2.914.23.51Urban20.449.330.453Maputo city36.460.947.164No schooling3.511.74.713Some primary8.020.68.014Lower primary14.131.117.323Upper primary30.452.229.544Upper Secondary55.474.045.965University58.268.762.474 | |
| Urban20.449.330.451Maputo city36.460.947.164No schooling3.511.74.711Some primary8.020.68.011Lower primary14.131.117.321Upper primary18.238.821.834Lower secondary30.452.229.541Upper Secondary55.474.045.965University58.268.762.475 | 9.0 |
| Maputo city 36.4 60.9 47.1 64 No schooling 3.5 11.7 4.7 11 Some primary 8.0 20.6 8.0 11 Lower primary 14.1 31.1 17.3 21 Upper primary 18.2 38.8 21.8 30 Lower secondary 30.4 52.2 29.5 41 Upper Secondary 55.4 74.0 45.9 65 University 58.2 68.7 62.4 75 | 5.3 |
| No schooling 3.5 11.7 4.7 1.7 Some primary 8.0 20.6 8.0 1.7 Lower primary 14.1 31.1 17.3 2.7 Upper primary 18.2 38.8 21.8 3.7 Lower secondary 30.4 52.2 29.5 4.7 Upper Secondary 55.4 74.0 45.9 65 University 58.2 68.7 62.4 75 | 3.5 |
| Some primary8.020.68.01Lower primary14.131.117.32Upper primary18.238.821.836Lower secondary30.452.229.54Upper Secondary55.474.045.965Technical37.854.741.655University58.268.762.475 | 4.4 |
| Lower primary14.131.117.32Upper primary18.238.821.830Lower secondary30.452.229.54Upper Secondary55.474.045.969Technical37.854.741.655University58.268.762.479 | 2.2 |
| Upper primary 18.2 38.8 21.8 36.4 Lower secondary 30.4 52.2 29.5 44 Upper Secondary 55.4 74.0 45.9 66 Technical 37.8 54.7 41.6 55 University 58.2 68.7 62.4 75 | 7.4 |
| Lower secondary30.452.229.54Upper Secondary55.474.045.969Technical37.854.741.655University58.268.762.479 | 5.7 |
| Upper Secondary 55.4 74.0 45.9 69 Technical 37.8 54.7 41.6 55 University 58.2 68.7 62.4 79 | 5.0 |
| Technical 37.8 54.7 41.6 57.7 University 58.2 68.7 62.4 75.7 | 7.0 |
| University 58.2 68.7 62.4 7 | 9.0 |
| | 2.7 |
| | 9.2 |
| Literate 17.3 34.2 24.2 3 | 5.6 |
| Student 7.6 12.0 12.8 1 | 7.0 |
| Speaks Portuguese 16.8 34.2 | |
| 1 household member 7.5 31.8 10.6 31.8 | 9.5 |
| 2 household members 6.9 23.9 10.1 23.9 | 3.7 |
| 3+ household members 8.6 26.3 12.9 28 | 3.7 |
| No children (<6) 9.3 25.8 13.9 29 | 9.3 |
| 1 child (<6) 9.6 28.7 15.2 32 | 2.4 |
| 2+ children (<6) 6.7 25.0 10.0 20 | 5.4 |
| Single 10.3 17.5 13.6 20 | 0.6 |
| Divorced 13.9 27.7 23.0 44 | 1.6 |
| Widowed 8.3 18.9 12.7 30 |).4 |
| Non-working partner 7.1 43.7 17.1 62 | 2.9 |
| Working partner 7.1 22.3 10.2 2 | 5.3 |
| Other 7.9 41.8 12.1 4 | |

Employment rates (non-subsistence sector)

The gender gap in employment is larger in:

- urban areas,
- 25-34-year-old,
- married, with children,
- with primary/secondary education completed,
- speaking Portuguese,
- ethnic minorities (white, Indian, Muslim),
- foreign-born and migrants.

| | 2007 | | 2014/15 | |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | F | Μ | F | Μ |
| Urban | 1.413*** | 1.500*** | 1.790*** | 1.529*** |
| Some primary | 0.267*** | 0.233*** | 0.143* | 0.219** |
| Lower primary | 0.400*** | 0.519*** | 0.391*** | 0.434*** |
| Upper primary | 0.679*** | 0.858*** | 0.728*** | 0.872*** |
| Lower secondary | 1.283*** | 1.266*** | 1.058*** | 1.284*** |
| Upper Secondary | 1.784*** | 1.618*** | 1.221*** | 1.668*** |
| Technical | 1.519*** | 1.212*** | 1.564*** | 1.251*** |
| University | 2.436*** | 1.966*** | 2.359*** | 2.680*** |
| Unknown education | 0.630*** | 0.949*** | 0.699** | 0.951*** |
| Literate | 0.634*** | 0.556*** | 0.614*** | 0.320*** |
| Unknown education | 0.315*** | 0.309*** | -0.331 | -0.025 |
| Student | -1.204*** | -1.722*** | -0.896*** | -1.281*** |
| 15-24 years | 0.884*** | 0.481*** | 1.243*** | 0.584*** |
| 35-44 years | 1.071*** | 0.333*** | 1.398*** | 0.433*** |
| 45-54 years | 0.842*** | 0.131*** | 1.045*** | 0.349*** |
| 55+ years | -0.073* | -0.592*** | 0.227* | -0.497*** |
| Disability | -0.395*** | -0.423*** | -0.347 | -0.607*** |
| 1 household member | 0.080* | 0.508*** | 0.221 | 0.388*** |
| 3+ household members | -0.117*** | -0.023 | -0.052 | -0.217** |
| 1 child (<6 years) | -0.058*** | -0.021 | 0.115** | 0.113* |
| 2+ children (<6 years) | -0.194*** | -0.128*** | -0.02 | -0.009 |
| Divorced | 0.610*** | 0.433*** | 0.864*** | 0.838*** |
| Widowed | 0.248*** | 0.286*** | 0.519*** | 0.758*** |
| Non-working partner | -0.102*** | 1.253*** | 0.323*** | 1.761*** |
| Working partner | -0.213*** | 0.789*** | 0.017 | 0.927*** |
| Other married | -0.274*** | 0.923*** | -0.126 | 0.924*** |
| Intercept | -3.476*** | -2.447*** | -3.788*** | -1.999*** |
| Ν | 491,423 | 564,232 | 37,489 | 43,704 |
| Pesudo-R2 | 27.9 | 26.5 | 29.9 | 32.6 |

Employment in the non subsistence sector, regressions by gender

Increasing with:

- urbanization,
- younger cohorts (esp. women),
- married with non-working partner (esp. men)
- divorced/widower (women)

Decomposition of the gender gap in non-subsistence employment

| | IOF 2014/15 | | |
|----------------|-------------|-------------|---|
| Differential | 16.34*** | | |
| Differential | Explained | Unexplained | k |
| All | 1.96*** | 14.37*** | |
| Geographic | 0.10 | -2.58*** | F |
| Education | 3.73*** | -1.01 | |
| Student | -0.51*** | -0.63*** | |
| Age | -0.35*** | -5.25*** | |
| Disability | -0.02 | -0.06 | |
| Household | 0.02 | 1 /0 | |
| composition | -0.03 | -1.48 | |
| Marital status | -0.96*** | 6.76*** | |
| Intercept | | 18.61*** | _ |



Decomposition of the gender gap in non-subsistence employment rates

| | | Cen | Census | | | IOF | | | |
|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|
| | 19 | 97 | 20 | 07 | 2008 | 8/09 | 2014 | 4/15 | |
| Differential | 16.37*** | | 17.88*** | | 13.78*** | | 16.34*** | | |
| Differential | Expl. | Unexpl. | Expl. | Unexpl. | Expl. | Unexpl. | Expl. | Unexpl. | |
| All | 2.19*** | 14.18*** | 2.49*** | 15.39*** | 1.95*** | 11.83*** | 1.96*** | 14.37*** | |
| Geographic | 0.19*** | 2.35*** | 0.29*** | 0.60* | 0.06 | 1.26 | 0.10 | -2.58*** | |
| Education | 2.53*** | -0.35*** | 3.25*** | -0.24 | 3.63*** | -1.04 | 3.73*** | -1.01 | |
| Student | -0.61*** | -0.20*** | -0.71*** | -1.06*** | -0.43*** | -0.84*** | -0.51*** | -0.63*** | |
| Age | -0.01 | -2.19*** | 0.03*** | -3.94*** | -0.06 | -1.33 | -0.35*** | -5.25*** | |
| Disability | -0.01*** | -0.02 | -0.02*** | -0.01 | -0.01 | 0.02 | -0.02 | -0.06 | |
| Hh composition | 0.04*** | 1.11*** | 0.03*** | 1.40*** | 0.00 | 1.10 | -0.03 | -1.48 | |
| Marital status | 0.05* | 6.22*** | -0.37*** | 7.79*** | -1.23*** | 2.49* | -0.96*** | 6.76*** | |
| Intercept | | 7.26*** | | 10.85*** | | 10.16*** | | 18.61*** | |



Decomposition of the gender gap in employment rates

| | | Cens | us 2007 |
|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-------------|
| | Differential | 17.88*** | |
| | Differential | Explained | Unexplained |
| | All | 2.71*** | 15.17*** |
| | Geographic | 0.22*** | 0.14 |
| | Education | 1.60*** | 0.54** |
| Smaller proportion | Student | -0.63*** | -1.02*** |
| of women who | Language | 1.84*** | -1.57*** |
| speaks Portuguese | Age | 0.03*** | -4.21*** |
| | Disability | -0.02*** | -0.01 |
| | Race | 0.00*** | 0.02*** |
| | Religion | -0.03*** | 0.56*** |
| | Household composition | 0.02*** | 1.62*** |
| | Marital status | -0.33*** | 8.09*** |
| | Immigration | 0.03*** | 0.22*** |
| | Intercept | 13 | 10.80*** |

Decomposition of the gender gap in non-subsistence employment rates (Ch. Ef. evaluated with men's coefficients)

| | 201 | | |
|----------------|-----------|-----------------|------------------|
| Differential | 16.34*** | | |
| Differential | Explained | Unexplained | |
| All | 2.67*** | 13.66*** | |
| Geographic | -0.44* | -2.05*** | Higher effect of |
| Education | 6.82*** | -0.44 | education, |
| Student | -1.54*** | -0.37*** | lower of |
| Age | -0.37*** | -4.92*** | conditional |
| Disability | -0.08*** | -0.04 | employment of |
| Household | -0.15*** | -1.31 | married workers |
| composition | -0.15 | -1.31 | |
| Marital status | -1.57*** | 5.92*** | |
| Intercept | | 16.86*** | |

Concluding remarks (1/2)

- Men have benefited more from the expansion of the nonsubsistence sector
 - Higher human capital (attained education, literacy, and Portuguese).
 - Diff. conditional employment probabilities of married men/women.
- U hypothesis: women will outperform men in education, lower fertility rates, more white-collar jobs→ decline in the gap
 - Long process and economic context/initial conditions matter.
 - SSA: Large inequalities among individuals, population groups and geographical areas; weak and urban-biased welfare state (Odusola et al., 2017).
 - Even in most developed economies women tend to lag behind men in the quantity and quality of jobs.



Concluding remarks (2/2)

- Increasing women's participation in economic life among those crucial policy packages that are both growth-friendly and that reduce inequality (OECD, 2015).
 - The others being:
 - employment promotion and good-quality jobs,
 - skills and education,
 - a tax-and-transfer system for efficient redistribution.
- There is plenty of room to enhance women's access to better jobs by improving their education and facilitating the employment of married women → more inclusive growth path in line with the SDGs.

